	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/871,183	HIGGINBOTHAM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Guzo	1636
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>AF</u>	
(1) <u>David Guzo</u> .	(3)	
(2) Edmund J. Sease.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 May 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
None		
Claims discussed: 37-42		
Prior art documents discussed:		
None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicants' representative gave approval for an examiner's amendment canceling non-elected claims 37-42 and amending the Continuing Data to properly claim benefit for the 60/208,248 application.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Q'1 m	-	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	ant/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)